

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

The

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	•			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,666	02/27/2004	Walton Fong	HSJ9-2003-0210US1 7551	
74216 The Patent Lay	7590 11/01/200 v Office of Larry Guerr	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 7202	47	WEISKOPF, MARIE		
San Jose, CA 9	5172		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3664	
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/789,666		FONG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Marie A. We		3664			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	ation appears on the c	over sheet with the o	correspondence address			
VVHIO - Exte afte - If NO - Faile Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI ensions of time may be available under the provisions of solk (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event ication. ory period will apply and will e I, by statute, cause the applica	S COMMUNICATION  I, however, may a reply be tire  expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>10 August 2007</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b	)⊠ This action is nor	n-final.				
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	tion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-31 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-10,12-31 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from cons	·				
Applica	tion Papers						
•	The specification is objected to by the		_				
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to be	<u>-</u>					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) <u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the control of the priority does not complete the priority does not complete the complete of the priority does not complete the complete of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have been ocuments have been the priority documer al Bureau (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicate the state of the second in	tion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachme	• •						
	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) 🔲 Info	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) per No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/789,666

Art Unit: 3664

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 4-5, 10, 12, 14-15, 17, 19-23, 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Teicher (US 2001/0032070) in view of Myers et al (US 6,823,084).
  - In regard to claims 1, 14, 15, 18, 21-23, 26, and 27 Teicher discloses:
    - o A hard disk drive including at least one database (paragraph 19)
    - o A digital camera (paragraph 19)
    - o A display screen (paragraph 19)
    - Database software by which images and sound input from the digital camera and are stored in the hard disk drive as a personal log database, which can be displayed on the screen, where the personal log database may be updated by additional images (paragraph 19)
    - O A portable translation device which includes an Optical Character

      Recognition engine, which takes input of graphic images of words from the digital camera in a language unfamiliar to the user and converts them to characters in the unfamiliar language and a dictionary module which takes the characters generated by the OCR engine and produces translated files

Application/Control Number: 10/789,666

Art Unit: 3664

in a language familiar to the user, and outputs the translated files to the view screen. (paragraph 19)

Teicher fails to disclose a microphone and at least one speaker. With his, Teicher fails to disclose the database storing sound and further outputting translated files to at least one speaker. Further, Teicher fails to disclose being able to download database information which includes images, sound file and text which act as a travel instructor. Myers et al discloses a microphone and speaker. (Column 3, lines 28-39) It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the microphone and speaker taught by Myers et al with the invention of Teicher in order to give the user a variety of ways to capture the unfamiliar language and to translate it to the user. Myers et al further discloses being able to download travel information into the portable text recognition device. (Column 7, lines 1-17) It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to be able to download information to the device of Teicher in order to be able to receive updated information.

- In regard to claims 4, 10, 17 and 25, Teicher discloses displaying movies.
   (paragraph 19)
- In regard to claims 5 and 19, Myers et al discloses the at least one speaker plays sound files (Column 3, lines 28-39)
- In regard to claims 12 and 20, Myers et al disclose a text-to-speech engine
   (Column 7, lines 46-57)

Art Unit: 3664

3. Claims 2-3, 6, 13, 16, 28 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Myers et al as applied to claims 1 and 14 above, and further in view of Kennewick et al (2004/0193420).

Teicher and Myers fail to disclose a touch-screen display, the display screen displaying slides, a GPS module and an MP3 player.

Kennewick discloses a touch-screen display (paragraph 97, line 2). Kennewick further discloses a display screen that displays graphics and video, which can include slides and Moving Pictures Expert Group (MPEG) movies, a file format for compression of digital video and audio data (paragraph 97, line 2). Kennewick further discloses at least one speaker plays sound files (paragraph 18, lines 15-17). Kennewick further discloses a Global Positioning System (GPS) module (paragraph 97, line 4).

As per claims 13 and 31, Kennewick discloses a device that can locate a music file and play it, the files could include MP3 files

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the aspects taught by Kennewick because they are well known in portable devices and would have been obvious to include.

4. Claims 7-8 and 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kennewick as applied to claims 6 and 28 above, and further in view of Lee (US 2002/0165557 A1).

Although Teicher, Myers et al and Kennewick discloses all the claimed elements as mentioned in claims 6 and 28, Kennewick fails to disclose a GPS that allows downloads of interactive digital guide information and allows tracking of the user.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,666

Art Unit: 3664

Lee in the same field of invention discloses a GPS that allows downloads of interactive digital guide information (paragraph 31, line 4) and allows tracking of the user (paragraph 31, line 1).

From this teaching of Lee, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the travel assistant of Kennewick to include a GPS that allows downloads of interactive digital guide information and allows tracking of the user of Lee, in order to allow a user to navigate using up to date maps in a given area.

5. Claims 9 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Myers et al as applied to claims 1 and 14 above, and further in view of Harrington (US 2003/0145338 A1).

Although Teicher and Myers et al disclose all the claimed elements as mentioned in claim 1, Teicher and Myers et al fails to disclose a producing HTML files and MPEG movies.

Harrington in the same field of invention discloses producing HTML files and MPEG movies, a file format for compression of digital video and audio data (paragraph 12, line 1).

From this teaching of Harrington, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the travel assistant of Teicher and Myers et al to include producing HTML files and MPEG movies of Harrington, in order to update a personal website designed to share experiences.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 and 12-31 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marie A. Weiskopf whose telephone number is (571) 272-6288. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday between 7:00 AM and 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on (571) 272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MW